

FINITE p -GROUPS OF CLASS 3 WITH NONINNER AUTOMORPHISMS OF ORDER p

ALIREZA ABDOLLAHI AND MOHSEN GHORAISHI

ABSTRACT. A longstanding conjecture asserts that every non-abelian finite p -group G admits a non-inner automorphism of order p . The conjecture is valid for finite p -groups of class 2. Here, we prove every finite non-abelian p -group G of class 3 with $p > 2$ has a noninner automorphism of order p leaving $\Phi(G)$ elementwise fixed. We also prove that if G is a finite 2-group of class 3 which cannot be generated by 4 elements, then G has a non-inner automorphism of order 2 leaving $\Phi(G)$ elementwise fixed. We also prove that the latter conclusion holds for finite 2-groups G of class 3 such that the center of G is not cyclic and the minimal number of generators of G is 2 or 4 and it holds whenever the center of G is *not* 2-generated and the minimal number of generators of G is 3. Some results are also proved for the existence of non-inner automorphisms of order p for a finite p -group G under conditions in terms of the minimal number of generators of the center factor of G and a certain function of the rank of G .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a non-abelian finite p -group. By a celebrated result of Gaschütz G admits non-inner automorphisms of p -power order [5]. But the existence of a non-inner automorphism of order p for G (Problem 4.13 of [8]) has faced no counterexample yet. Affirmative answers have been given to the problem whenever G is nilpotent of class 2 [2, 6], G is regular [7, 4], $G/Z(G)$ is powerful [1] and $C_G(Z(\Phi(G))) \neq \Phi(G)$ [4].

Our main results imply that the title of our paper is valid. The outline of the paper is as follows.

In Section 2, we look for criteria to determine derivations from an abelian p -group to an elementary abelian one, which yields us a tool for finding a lower bound for the size of certain subgroups of group of derivations.

In Section 3, in order to find a non-inner automorphism of order p , we compare the order of certain subgroups of derivations with the corresponding subgroup of inner derivations.

Section 4 is devoted to prove the results indicated in the abstract.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20D15; 20D45.

Key words and phrases. Finite p -groups; non-inner automorphisms;

This research was in part supported by a grant from IPM (No. 89200218).

Throughout the paper p denotes a prime number. $Z(G)$, G' , $\Phi(G)$ (or for short Φ), $\text{cl}(G)$, $\text{d}(G)$, and $\text{rk}(G)$ denote the center, the derived subgroup, the Frattini subgroup, the nilpotency class and the minimum number of generators, respectively. If G is a p -group, $\Omega_1(G)$ denotes the subgroup generated by elements of order p and $\Omega_1^*(G) = \langle x \in G \mid x^p \in Z(G) \rangle$. If A is a G -module, $\text{Der}(G, A)$ and $\text{B}^1(G, A)$ denote the groups of all derivations and inner derivations from G to A , respectively. If $a \in A$ and $x \in G$ then $a^{1+x+\dots+x^j}$ denotes $aa^x \dots a^{x^j}$. The trace endomorphism induced by x is denoted by τ_x that sends $a \in A$ to $a^{1+x+\dots+x^{n-1}}$ where $n = o(x)$.

2. An Estimation for the Minimum Number of Generators of $\text{Der}(G, A)$

We need the following lemma from [3] to find a way to determine all derivations from an abelian group G to a G -module A .

Lemma 2.1 (Lemma 1.2 of [3]). *Let $G = U \times V$ be a group, and let A be a G -module. Let α and β be derivations from U and V respectively to A . Then α and β have a common extension to a derivation from G to A if and only if $[u^\alpha, v] = [v^\beta, u]$ for all $u \in U$ and $v \in V$. In particular, if $[U, A] = 1$ then $\text{Der}(G, A) \cong \text{Hom}(U, C_A(G)) \times \text{Der}(V, A)$.*

The following lemma can be proved by using Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose that $G = \langle x_1 \rangle \times \dots \times \langle x_n \rangle$ is a finite abelian group and A is a G -module. Then the mapping $\delta : \left| \begin{smallmatrix} x_i \rightarrow b_i \\ 1 \leq i \leq n \end{smallmatrix} \right.$ can be extended to an element of $\text{Der}(G, A)$ if and only if*

$$\begin{cases} b_i \in \ker \tau_{x_i} & 1 \leq i \leq n \\ [b_i, x_j] = [b_j, x_i] & 1 \leq i, j \leq n \end{cases}$$

Suppose that $G = \langle x_1 \rangle \times \dots \times \langle x_n \rangle$ is an abelian group and let A be a G -module. If $\delta \in \text{Der}(G, A)$, define $\text{supp}(\delta)$ to be $\{x_i^\delta \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ and for any non-empty subset C of A , denote

$$\{\delta \in \text{Der}(G, A) \mid \text{supp}(\delta) \subseteq C\}$$

by $\text{Der}_C(G, A)$. Recall that $\text{Der}(G, A)$ is an abelian group with pointwise multiplication of functions.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $G = \langle x_1 \rangle \times \dots \times \langle x_n \rangle$ be a finite abelian p -group and let A be a finite elementary abelian p -group which is a G -module. If $C, D \leq A$ such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^n [C, x_i] \subseteq D$ then*

$$\text{d}(\text{Der}_C(G, A)) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \text{d}(\ker(\tau_{x_i}) \cap C) - \binom{n}{2} \text{d}(D).$$

Proof. Let $C = \langle c_1, \dots, c_r \rangle$ and $D = \langle d_1, \dots, d_s \rangle$, where $\text{rk}(C) = r$ and $\text{rk}(D) = s$. Then $[c_j, x_i] = d_1^{m_{ij}^1} \dots d_s^{m_{ij}^s}$ for some $m_{ij}^k \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Thus for each $1 \leq q \leq s$ we have a fixed $n \times r$ matrix $[m_{ij}^q] \in \text{Mat}_{n \times r}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Let

$$\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in (\ker(\tau_{x_1}) \cap C) \times \dots \times (\ker(\tau_{x_n}) \cap C).$$

Then for each i , there exist $t_{1i}, \dots, t_{ri} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that

$$b_i = c_1^{t_{1i}} \dots c_r^{t_{ri}}.$$

It is easy to see that the map

$$M : (\ker(\tau_{x_1}) \cap C) \times \dots \times (\ker(\tau_{x_n}) \cap C) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^{rn}$$

that sends $\mathbf{b}$ to $M_{\mathbf{b}} = [t_{11}, \dots, t_{r1}, \dots, t_{1n}, \dots, t_{rn}]$ is a group monomorphism.

By Lemma 2.2, $\mathbf{b}$ determines a unique element of $\text{Der}_C(G, A)$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad & \mathbf{b} \in (\ker(\tau_{x_1}) \cap C) \times \dots \times (\ker(\tau_{x_n}) \cap C) \text{ and} \\ \text{(b)} \quad & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum_{l=1}^r m_{il}^q t_{lj} = \sum_{l=1}^r m_{jl}^q t_{li} \\ \text{for all } q \in \{1, \dots, s\}, \text{ for all } i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the equalities (b) that $[t_{11}, \dots, t_{r1}, \dots, t_{1n}, \dots, t_{rn}]^T$ is a solution for the following matrix equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 \dots 0 & m_{j1}^q & \dots & m_{jr}^q & 0 \dots 0 & -m_{i1}^q & \dots & -m_{ir}^q & 0 \dots 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix}_{\binom{n}{2}s \times rn} X = 0$$

Denote the latter matrix of coefficients by E and consider the linear transformation

$$E_{C,D} : (\ker(\tau_{x_1}) \cap C) \times \dots \times (\ker(\tau_{x_n}) \cap C) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^{\binom{n}{2}s},$$

which sends $\mathbf{b}$ to $E \times M_{\mathbf{b}}^T$. The null space of $E_{C,D}$ is isomorphic to $\text{Der}_C(G, A)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} d(\text{Der}_C(G, A)) &= \dim(\text{null}(E_{C,D})) \\ &= \dim((\ker(\tau_{x_1}) \cap C) \times \dots \times (\ker(\tau_{x_n}) \cap C)) - \dim(\text{Im}(E_{C,D})), \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

3. Non-inner automorphisms of order p

Throughout this section, suppose that G is a finite non-abelian p -group such that $C_G(Z(\Phi(G))) = \Phi(G)$. Note that the latter condition implies $C_G(\Phi(G)) = Z(\Phi(G))$. We also fix throughout this section the following notation:

$$n := d(G), \overline{G} := \frac{G}{\Phi(G)} = \langle \bar{x}_1 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle \bar{x}_n \rangle,$$

$$A := \Omega_1(Z(\Phi(G))), A_i := A \cap Z_i(G) \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{N}, A_i := 1 \text{ for all negative integers } i,$$

$$A^* := \Omega_1^*(G) \cap Z(\Phi(G)),$$

and note that $d(A_i) = d(A^* \cap Z_i(G)) = d(Z(\Phi) \cap Z_i(G)) \geq d(\frac{Z(\Phi) \cap Z_i(G)}{Z(G)})$, and $A_1 = \Omega_1(Z(G))$ as $Z(G) \leq Z(\Phi(G)) = C_G(\Phi(G))$.

Consider A as a $\overline{G}$ -modules, where $\overline{G}$ acts by conjugation on A .

Lemma 3.1. *If $x \in G$ then the trace endomorphism $\tau_x : A \rightarrow A$ has the following properties:*

- (1) $d(\ker(\tau_x)) \geq \frac{1}{2}d(A)$.
- (2) $\tau_x(a) = [a,_{p-1} x]$ for all $a \in A$.
- (3) If $0 \leq i \leq p-1$ then $A_i \leq \ker(\tau_x)$.
- (4) $d(\ker(\tau_x) \cap A_i) \geq d(A_i) - d(A_{i-p+1})$.

Proof. (1) τ_x is a linear transformation and $\tau_x(a^x) = \tau_x(a)$, so $\tau_x^2 = 0$. This proves (1).

(2) By induction on m we have $a^{x^m} = \Pi_{i=0}^m [a,_{i} x]^{\binom{m}{i}}$ where $[a,_{0} x] = a$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_x(a) &= \Pi_{m=0}^{p-1} a^{x^m} \\ &= \Pi_{m=0}^{p-1} \Pi_{i=0}^m [a,_{i} x]^{\binom{m}{i}} \\ &= \Pi_{m=0}^{p-1} [a,_{m} x]^{\sum_{i=m}^{p-1} \binom{i}{m}} \\ &= \Pi_{m=0}^{p-1} [a,_{m} x]^{\binom{p}{m+1}} \\ &= \Pi_{m=0}^{p-1} [a^{\binom{p}{m+1}},_{m} x] \\ &= [a,_{p-1} x]. \end{aligned}$$

(3) It follows from (2).

(4) Note that τ_x maps A_i into A_i . Thus

$$d(\ker(\tau_x) \cap A_i) = d(A_i) - d(\text{Im}(\tau_x) \cap A_i).$$

Now it follows from (2) that $\text{Im}(\tau_x) \cap A_i \subseteq A_{i-p+1}$. This proves (4). □

Let $a \in Z(\Phi(G))$. Then the corresponding inner derivation induced by a will be denoted by $\varphi_a : \overline{G} \rightarrow Z(\Phi)$ which sends $\bar{x}$ to $[x, a]$.

Lemma 3.2. *If $\delta \in \text{Der}(\overline{G}, A)$ then the map $\hat{\delta} : G \rightarrow G$ defined by $x^{\hat{\delta}} = x\bar{x}^\delta$ for all $x \in G$, is an automorphism of order p leaving $\Phi(G)$ elementwise fixed. The automorphism $\hat{\delta}$ is inner if and only if $\delta \in B^1(\overline{G}, A^*)$.*

Proof. It is straightforward. □

Lemma 3.3. (1) $B^1(\overline{G}, A^*) \cap \text{Der}(\overline{G}, A_{i-1}) = B^1(\overline{G}, A^* \cap Z_i(G))$.

$$(2) B^1(\overline{G}, A^* \cap Z_i(G)) \cong \frac{A^* \cap Z_i(G)}{Z(G)},$$

$$(3) d(B^1(\overline{G}, A^* \cap Z_i(G))) \leq d(A_i).$$

In particular, if $Z(G)$ is a direct factor of A^* then $d(B^1(\overline{G}, A^* \cap Z_i(G))) = d(A_i) - d(A_1)$.

(4) *If $d(\text{Der}(\overline{G}, A_{i-1})) > d(B^1(\overline{G}, A^* \cap Z_i(G)))$ then G has a non-inner automorphism of order p leaving $\Phi(G)$ elementwise fixed.*

(5) *If G has no non-inner automorphism of order p leaving $\Phi(G)$ elementwise fixed, then*

$$d(\text{Der}(\overline{G}, A_{i-1})) \leq d(A_i).$$

Proof. (1) It is straightforward.

(2) It is enough to check that the map

$$\varphi : A^* \cap Z_i(G) \rightarrow B^1(\overline{G}, A^* \cap Z_i(G))$$

defined by $a^\varphi = \varphi_a$ for all $a \in A^* \cap Z_i(G)$ is a group epimorphism with the kernel $Z(G)$.

(3) It follows from (2).

(4) It follows from (2) and Lemma 3.2.

(5) It follows from (3) and (4). □

Theorem 3.4. *Suppose that $\Omega_1(Z(\Phi(G))) \leq Z_3(G)$. If G satisfies one of the following conditions then G has a non-inner automorphism of order p leaving $\Phi(G)$ elementwise fixed.*

(1) $p > 3$.

(2) $p = 3$ and $d(G) > 3$.

(3) $p = 3$, $d(G) = 3$, and $d(Z(\Phi(G)) \cap Z_2(G)) \neq 3d(Z(G))$ or $d(Z(\Phi(G))) \neq 6d(Z(G))$.

(4) $p = 3$, $d(G) = 3$, and there exists $x \in G \setminus \Phi$ such that $\tau_x = 0$.

(5) $d(G) = 3$ and $Z(G)$ is a direct factor of $\Omega_1^*(G) \cap Z(\Phi(G))$.

Proof. Suppose, on the contrary, that G has no non-inner automorphisms of order p leaving $\Phi(G)$ elementwise fixed. In each cases we will arrive to a contradiction. Lemma 2.3 (by replacing C and D by A_i and A_{i-1} respectively), implies the following inequalities, for $i = 1, 2, 3$

$$d(\text{Der}(\overline{G}, A_i)) \geq \sum_{j=1}^n d(\ker(\tau_{x_j}) \cap A_i) - \binom{n}{2} d(A_{i-1}),$$

Setting $d_i = d(A_i)$, it follows from Lemmas 3.3 and 3.1 that

$$(F1) \quad d_2 \geq d\left(\frac{A^* \cap Z_2(G)}{Z(G)}\right) \geq nd_1,$$

$$(F2) \quad d_3 \geq d\left(\frac{A^* \cap Z_3(G)}{Z(G)}\right) \geq nd_2 - nd_{3-p} - \binom{n}{2} d_1,$$

$$(F3) \quad d_3 \geq d\left(\frac{A^*}{Z(G)}\right) \geq \sum_{j=1}^3 d(\ker(\tau_{x_j})) - \binom{n}{2} d_2 \geq nd_3 - nd_{4-p} - \binom{n}{2} d_2,$$

and therefore

$$(F'1) \quad (n-1)d_3 \leq nd_{4-p} + \binom{n}{2} d_2,$$

$$(F'2) \quad \binom{n}{2} d_2 \leq nd_{4-p} + n(n-1)d_{3-p} + \binom{n}{2} (n-1)d_1,$$

$$(F'3) \quad \binom{n}{2} d_1 \leq nd_{4-p} + n(n-1)d_{3-p}$$

(1) If $p > 3$ then it follows from (F'3) that $\binom{n}{2} d_1 \leq 0$, which is a contradiction, since $d_1 \geq 1$.

(2) It follows from (F'3) that $\binom{n}{2} d_1 \leq nd_1$. This proves (2).

(3) By hypothesis $n = 3$. Since $d(Z(\Phi(G)) \cap Z_2(G)) = d_2$ and $d(Z(G)) = d_1$, the above inequalities imply that $6d_1 = 2d_2 = d_3$.

(4) As $d(G) = 3$, by Burnside's basis theorem we may assume that $x_1 = x$. Since $\tau_{x_1} = 0$, it follows from inequality (F3) that $d_3 \geq 3d_3 - 2d_1 - 3d_2$ which is in contrary with (3).

(5) By inequality (F2) and part (3) we have

$$5d_1 = d_3 - d_1 \geq d\left(\frac{A^*}{Z(G)}\right) \geq 3d_2 - 3d_1 = 6d_1,$$

which is a contradiction. □

Theorem 3.5. *Suppose that G is a finite p -group. If one the following conditions hold then G admits a non-inner automorphism of order p leaving $\Phi(G)$ elementwise fixed.*

- (1) p is an odd prime and $\text{rk}(\frac{G}{Z(G)}) < \binom{d(G)+1}{2} d(Z(G))$,
- (2) $p = 2$ and $\text{rk}(\frac{G}{Z(G)}) < \binom{d(G)}{2} d(Z(G))$.

Proof. Suppose, for a contradiction, that G has no non-inner automorphisms of order p leaving $\Phi(G)$ elementwise fixed. We use the notations and inequalities (F1) and (F2) in the proof of Theorem 3.4.

If p is odd then

$$\text{rk}(\frac{G}{Z(G)}) \geq d(\frac{A^* \cap Z_3(G)}{Z(G)}) \geq nd_2 - \binom{n}{2} d_1 \geq n^2 d_1 - \binom{n}{2} d_1 = \binom{n+1}{2} d_1,$$

and if $p = 2$ then

$$\text{rk}(\frac{G}{Z(G)}) \geq d(\frac{A^* \cap Z_3(G)}{Z(G)}) \geq nd_2 - nd_1 - \binom{n}{2} d_1 \geq n^2 d_1 - nd_1 - \binom{n}{2} d_1 = \binom{n}{2} d_1.$$

these imply the result. $\square$

4. p -Groups of Class 3

Recall that the rank of a finite group G is the minimum number r such that every subgroup of G can be generated by at most r elements. The number r is denoted by $\text{rk}(G)$.

Lemma 4.1. *If G is a nilpotent group of class 3 then $\text{rk}(\frac{G}{Z(G)}) \leq \binom{d(G)+1}{2}$.*

Proof. Note that if H is a group of class 2 then $\text{rk}(H) \leq d(\frac{H}{H'}) + d(H')$. Suppose that $G = \langle x_1, \dots, x_{d(G)} \rangle$. Then $G'Z(G) = \langle [x_i, x_j], Z(G) \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq d(G) \rangle$. Therefore

$$\text{rk}(\frac{G}{Z(G)}) \leq d(\frac{G}{G'Z(G)}) + d(\frac{G'Z(G)}{Z(G)}) \leq d(G) + \binom{d(G)}{2} = \binom{d(G)+1}{2}.$$

$\square$

Lemma 4.2. *Let G be a finite non-abelian p -group of class 3 where $p > 2$. If $Z(G)$ is not cyclic then G has a non-inner automorphism of order p leaving $\Phi(G)$ elementwise fixed.*

Proof. Suppose, for a contradiction, that G does not satisfy the conclusion of the lemma. Then by Theorem 3.5 and Lemma 4.1 one has

$$\binom{d(G)+1}{2} d(Z(G)) \leq \text{rk}(\frac{G}{Z(G)}) \leq \binom{d(G)+1}{2}$$

that implies the result. $\square$

We use the following lemma in the sequel without any further reference.

Lemma 4.3. *Let G be a 3-group of class 3, $x, y, z \in G$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

- (1) $[x, y^i] = [x, y]^i [x, y, y]^{\binom{i}{2}},$
- (2) $[x^i, y] = [x, y]^i [x, y, x]^{\binom{i}{2}},$
- (3) $[x^3, y] = 1$ if and only if $[x, y]^3 = 1,$
- (4) $(xy)^3 = x^3 y^3 [y, x]^3 [y, x, x] [y, x, y]^2,$
- (5) $[x, y, z] [y, z, x] [z, x, y] = 1,$
- (6) $Z(\Phi(G)) \leq \Omega_1^*(G).$

Theorem 4.4. *For odd primes p , if G is a finite non-abelian p -group of class 3 then G has a non-inner automorphism of order p leaving $\Phi(G)$ fixed elementwise.*

Proof. Suppose, for a contradiction, that G does not satisfy the conclusion of the theorem. It follows from [4] that $C_G(Z(\Phi(G))) = \Phi(G)$ and by Theorem 3.4, we have $p = 3$ and $d(G) \in \{2, 3\}$, and Lemma 4.2 implies that $Z(G)$ is cyclic. Now we distinguish two cases: Case a: $d(G) = 3$; Case b: $d(G) = 2$.

Case a. Let $d(G) = 3$.

We will prove there exist $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in G$, $w_1, w_2, w_3 \in G'$ and positive integers $\alpha \geq \beta \geq \gamma$ such that

$$(\star) \quad G = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle \text{ and } \Omega_1(Z(\Phi(G))) = \langle x_1^{3^\alpha} w_1, x_2^{3^\beta} w_2, x_3^{3^\gamma} w_3, \Omega_1(G') \rangle.$$

Suppose that $(\star)$ holds. Then $[x, y, x_3^{3^\gamma} w_3] = 1$ for all $x, y \in \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$. Thus $[x, y, x_3]^{3^\gamma} = 1$ for all $x, y \in \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$. Now if $a \in \Omega_1(Z(\Phi(G)))$ then $a = x_1^{i3^\alpha} x_2^{j3^\beta} x_3^{k3^\gamma} w$ for some $w \in G'$ and integers i, j, k . It follows from Lemma 3.1 that

$$\tau_{x_3}(a) = [x_1^{i3^\alpha} x_2^{j3^\beta} x_3^{k3^\gamma} w, x_3, x_3] = [x_1, x_3, x_3]^{i3^\alpha} [x_2, x_3, x_3]^{j3^\beta} = 1,$$

and so $\tau_{x_3} = 0$. This latter contradicts part 4 of Theorem 3.4.

Hence, from now on we are going to show $(\star)$. For this, we have to prove several properties as follows. Suppose that $G = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle$.

$$1) \quad Z_2(G) \leq \Phi = \Phi(G) \text{ and } \Omega_1(Z_2(G)) \leq Z(\Phi).$$

For, by part 3 of Theorem 3.4 we have $\tau_x \neq 0$ for every $x \notin \Phi$. This implies that $Z_2(G) \leq \Phi$. Since $a \in Z(\Phi(G))$ if and only if $a \in \Phi(G)$ and $[a, x^3] = 1 = [x, y, a]$ for all $x, y \in G$, the second assertion follows from Lemma 4.3.

$$2) \quad d(Z(G)) = 1, d(Z(\Phi(G)) \cap Z_2(G)) = 3, d(Z(\Phi(G))) = 6 \text{ and } d\left(\frac{Z(\Phi(G))}{Z(G)}\right) = 6$$

These follow from Lemma 4.2 and part 3 of Theorem 3.4.

$$3) \quad d\left(\frac{G'Z(G)}{Z(G)}\right) = 3, \quad d(G') = 3 \text{ and } \gamma_3(G) \leq \Phi(G') = G'^3.$$

For, by Lemma 4.1 and part (2), $\text{rk}\left(\frac{G}{Z(G)}\right) = 6$. The proof of Lemma 4.1 shows that $d\left(\frac{G'Z(G)}{Z(G)}\right) = 3$. Since $\gamma_3(G) \leq Z(G)$,

$$G' = \langle [x_1, x_2], [x_1, x_3], [x_2, x_3], \gamma_3(G) \rangle.$$

Therefore

$$d\left(\frac{G'Z(G)}{Z(G)}\right) \leq d(\Omega_1(G')) \leq d(\Omega_1(Z_2(G))) = d(\Omega_1(Z(\Phi)) \cap Z_2(G)) = 3.$$

If $\gamma_3(G) \not\leq \Phi(G')$ then Burnside's basis theorem implies that $d\left(\frac{G'Z(G)}{Z(G)}\right) < 3$, a contradiction.

$$4) \quad \text{If } x, y \in G \text{ then } (xy)^3 = x^3y^3c^3, \text{ for some } c \in G'.$$

$$5) \quad \text{If } x \in G, y \in G' \text{ then } (xy)^3 = x^3y^3[y, x]^3, \text{ and so } x^3y^3 = w^3 \text{ for some } w \in G.$$

These parts follow from Lemma 4.3 and part (3) above.

$$6) \quad G^3 = \{x^3 | x \in G\}.$$

It can be easily verified.

$$7) \quad \Omega_1(\Phi) = \{a \in \Phi | a^3 = 1\}.$$

For, if $a, b \in \Phi$ and $a^3 = b^3 = 1$ then $a = a_1^3w_1$ for some $a_1 \in G$ and $w_1 \in G'$ and so $[b, a, a] = [b, a_1^3w_1, a] = [b^3, a_1, a] = 1$. Therefore $(ab)^3 = 1$.

$$8) \quad \text{We may assume that } G = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle \text{ and}$$

$$\frac{G^3}{G'^3} = \langle \overline{x_1^3} \rangle \times \langle \overline{x_2^3} \rangle \times \langle \overline{x_3^3} \rangle,$$

where $\overline{x} = xG'^3$ for any element $x \in G$.

For, first note that if $H = \langle a, b, c \rangle$ is a finite abelian p -group such that a has the maximal order in group H , and $b\langle a \rangle$ has the maximal order in $\frac{H}{\langle a \rangle}$ then

$$H = \langle a \rangle \times \langle a^ib \rangle \times \langle a^jb^kc \rangle$$

for some integers i, j, k . Thus one may assume that

$$\frac{G^3}{G'^3} = \langle \overline{x_1^3} \rangle \times \langle \overline{x_1^{3i}x_2^3} \rangle \times \langle \overline{x_1^{3j}x_3^{3k}x_3^3} \rangle,$$

for some integers i, j, k . Now let $x'_1 = x_1, x'_2 = x_1^ix_2, x'_3 = x_1^jx_2^kx_3$ then by Lemma 4.3 and (4), $\{x'_1, x'_2, x'_3\}$ fulfil the conditions.

$$9) \quad \text{If } h \in G^3, w \in G' \text{ and } (hw)^3 = 1 \text{ then } (hw)^3 = h^3w^3 \text{ and } hG'^3 \in \Omega_1\left(\frac{G^3}{G'^3}\right).$$

For, $1 = (hw)^3 = h^3w^3[w, h]^3 = h^3w^3[w, hw]^3 = h^3w^3$. Therefore $h^3 \in G'^3$.

10) It is clear that there are positive integers α, β, γ such that $\Omega_1(\frac{G^3}{G'^3}) = \langle \overline{x_1^{3\alpha}} \rangle \times \langle \overline{x_2^{3\beta}} \rangle \times \langle \overline{x_3^{3\gamma}} \rangle$. If $a \in \Omega_1(\Phi(G))$ then $a = x_1^{i3\alpha} x_2^{j3\beta} x_3^{k3\gamma} w$ for some $w \in G'$.

For, if $a = hw_0$ then by (9), $hG'^3 \in \Omega_1(\frac{G^3}{G'^3})$, thus $h = x_1^{i3\alpha} x_2^{j3\beta} x_3^{k3\gamma} w_1$ for some $w_1 \in G'$.

11) If $h_1, h_2 \in G^3$ and $h_1^3, h_2^3 \in G'^3$ then $(h_1 h_2)^3 = h_1^3 h_2^3$.

Since $h_1^3 = c^3$ for some $c \in G'$,

$$[h_1^3, h_2] = [c^3, h_2] = [c, h_2^3] = 1.$$

It follows that $[h_1, h_2]^3 = 1$, and since $h_2 = k^3$ for some $k \in G$, we have

$$[h_1, h_2, h_1] = [h_1, k^3, h_1] = [h_1^3, k, h_1] = 1.$$

12) If $a, b \in \Omega_1(\Phi(G))$ then $[a, b] \in \Omega_1(G')$.

13) If $w, w_1 \in G'$, then $w_1^3 = w^3$ if and only if $w_1 = ww_0$ for some $w_0 \in \Omega_1(G')$.

Part (12) and (13) can be easily verified.

14) $\Omega_1(\Phi(G)) = \langle x_1^{3\alpha} w_1, x_2^{3\beta} w_2, x_3^{3\gamma} w_3, \Omega_1(G') \rangle$, for some $w_1, w_2, w_3 \in G'$ where α, β, γ are as (10) and $x_1^{3\alpha+1} = w_1^{-3}$, $x_2^{3\beta+1} = w_2^{-3}$ and $x_3^{3\gamma+1} = w_3^{-3}$.

By (10) there are elements $w_1, w_2, w_3 \in G'$ such that $x_1^{3\alpha+1} = w_1^{-3}$, $x_2^{3\beta+1} = w_2^{-3}$ and $x_3^{3\gamma+1} = w_3^{-3}$. Let $a \in \Omega_1(\Phi(G))$. Then $a = x_1^{i3\alpha} x_2^{j3\beta} x_3^{k3\gamma} w$ for some $w \in G'$. Thus we have

$$1 \stackrel{(7)}{=} a^3 \stackrel{(9)}{=} (x_1^{i3\alpha} x_2^{j3\beta} x_3^{k3\gamma})^3 w^3 \stackrel{(11)}{=} x_1^{i3\alpha+1} x_2^{j3\beta+1} x_3^{k3\gamma+1} w^3 = (w_1^{-i} w_2^{-j} w_3^{-k} w)^3.$$

Therefore

$$w_1^i w_2^j w_3^k \equiv w \pmod{\Omega_1(G')}.$$

Now note that $[b, u] \in \Omega_1(G')$ whenever $b^3 \in G'$ and $u \in G'$. This implies that

$$a \equiv (x_1^{3\alpha} w_1)^i (x_2^{3\beta} w_2)^j (x_3^{3\gamma} w_3)^k \pmod{\Omega_1(G')}.$$

15) $\Omega_1(Z(\Phi(G))) = \Omega_1(\Phi(G))$.

By (12), $\frac{\Omega_1(\Phi(G))}{\Omega_1(G')}$ is an elementary abelian group of rank at most 3 and by (2) and (3) $\text{rk}(\frac{\Omega_1(Z(\Phi(G)))}{\Omega_1(G')}) =$

3. Since $\frac{\Omega_1(Z(\Phi(G)))}{\Omega_1(G')} \leq \frac{\Omega_1(\Phi(G))}{\Omega_1(G')}$, the result follows.

This proves $(\star)$ and completes the proof in the case (a).

Case b. Suppose that $d(G) = 2$.

Let $H = G/Z(G)$. We first prove that for any two elements $x, y \in G$, we have

$$H = \langle xZ(G), yZ(G) \rangle \implies o(xZ(G)) = o(yZ(G)) \quad (**)$$

Suppose that $o(xZ(G)) = 3^\alpha$ and $o(yZ(G)) = 3^\beta$. Suppose, for a contradiction, that $\alpha > \beta$. Then, it follows from Lemma 4.3 that

$$[x^{3^\beta}, y] = [x, y]^{3^\beta} [x, y, x]^{\frac{3^\beta(3^\beta-1)}{2}} = [x, y]^{3^\beta} [x, y^{3^\beta}, x]^{\frac{3^\beta-1}{2}} = [x, y]^{3^\beta},$$

since $y^{3^\beta} \in Z(G)$. On the other hand,

$$1 = [x, y^{3^\beta}] = [x, y]^{3^\beta} [x, y, y]^{\frac{3^\beta(3^\beta-1)}{2}} = [x, y]^{3^\beta} [x, y^{3^\beta}, y]^{\frac{3^\beta-1}{2}} = [x, y]^{3^\beta}.$$

Hence $[x^{3^\beta}, y] = 1$ and so $x^{3^\beta} \in Z(G)$, which is a contradiction since we are assuming $o(xZ(G)) = 3^\alpha > 3^\beta$.

Now let $x, y \in G$ be such that $H = \langle a, b \rangle$, where $a = xZ(G)$ and $b = yZ(G)$. By (**), $o(a) = o(b) = 3^\alpha$ for some positive integer α .

It is not hard to see (e.g., arguing as in the proof of Lemma 2.4 of [1]) that $Z(H) = \langle a^{3^\beta}, b^{3^\beta}, [a, b] \rangle$, where $o([a, b]) = |H'| = 3^\beta$ for some positive integer β . Also we have $H/Z(H)$ and $H' = \langle [a, b] \rangle$ has the same exponent 3^β . By Corollary 2.3 of [1], $d(Z(H)) = 2$ and so $\alpha > \beta$.

We first prove that

$$\langle a^{3^\beta} \rangle \cap \langle b^{3^\beta} \rangle = 1. \quad (***)$$

Let $a^{3^\beta i} = b^{3^\beta j}$ for some $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Assume that $i = 3^{\beta_1} i'$ and $j = 3^{\beta_2} j'$, where $\gcd(3, i') = \gcd(3, j') = 1$ and $\beta_1 \geq \beta_2 \geq 0$. Then

$$(a^{3^{\beta_1 - \beta_2} i'} b^{-j'})^{3^{\beta + \beta_2}} = a^{3^\beta i} b^{-3^\beta j} [b^{-j'}, a^{3^{\beta_1 - \beta_2} i'}]^{\frac{3^{\beta + \beta_2}(3^{\beta + \beta_2} - 1)}{2}} = 1.$$

Since $H = \langle a, a^{3^{\beta_1 - \beta_2} i'} b^{-j'} \rangle$, it follows from (**) that 3^α divides $3^{\beta + \beta_2}$ and so $a^{3^\beta i} = 1 = b^{3^\beta j}$.

Now we prove that either $\langle a^{3^\beta} \rangle \cap \langle [a, b] \rangle = 1$ or $\langle b^{3^\beta} \rangle \cap \langle [a, b] \rangle = 1$.

Suppose, for a contradiction, that $a^{3^{\beta_1}}$ and $b^{3^{\beta_2}}$ belong to $\langle [a, b] \rangle$ for some non-negative integers β_1, β_2 which are less than α and greater or equal to β . Therefore $a^{3^{\beta_1}} = b^{3^{\beta_2} i}$ for some integer i or $a^{3^{\beta_1} j} = b^{3^{\beta_2}}$ for some integer j . It follows from (***) that either $\alpha \leq \beta_1$ or $\alpha \leq \beta_2$, a contradiction.

By Corollary 2.3 of [1], $d(Z(H)) = 2$. It follows that $a^{3^\beta r} b^{3^\beta s} [a, b]^t = 1$ for some integers r, s, t such that $[a, b]^t \neq 1$ and either $a^{3^\beta r} \neq 1$ or $b^{3^\beta s} \neq 1$. Let $r = 3^{\beta_1} r'$ and $s = 3^{\beta_2} s'$, where $\gcd(r', 3) = \gcd(s', 3) = 1$. Without loss of generality, assume that $\beta_2 \leq \beta_1$. Then

$$(a^{3^{\beta_1 - \beta_2} r'} b^{s'})^{3^{\beta + \beta_2}} \in \langle [a, b] \rangle.$$

Since either $a^{3^\beta r} \neq 1$ or $b^{3^\beta s} \neq 1$, $(a^{3^{\beta_1 - \beta_2} r'} b^{s'})^{3^{\beta + \beta_2}}$ is a non-trivial element of H' . Clearly $\{a, b' = a^{3^{\beta_1 - \beta_2} r'} b^{s'}\}$ generates H . Therefore, replacing b by b' , we obtain that

$$\langle a^{3^\beta} \rangle \cap H' = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad b^{3^{\alpha-1}} \in H', \quad (***)$$

since $1 \neq b^{3^{\beta + \beta_2}} \in H'$.

Since $Z(G)$ is cyclic, $x^{3^\alpha} = y^{3^\alpha j}$ for some integer j or $x^{3^\alpha i} = y^{3^\alpha}$ for some integer i . Without loss of generality, assume that $x^{3^\alpha} = y^{3^\alpha j}$. Since $\alpha > \beta$, $x^{3^{\alpha-1}}$ and $y^{-3^{\alpha-1}j}$ are both in $Z_2(G)$. Now we may write

$$(x^{3^{\alpha-1}} y^{-3^{\alpha-1}j})^3 = x^{3^\alpha} y^{-3^\alpha j} [y^{-3^{\alpha-1}j}, x^{3^{\alpha-1}}]^3 = [y^{-3^{\alpha-1}j}, x^{3^\alpha}] = 1.$$

Let $k = x^{3^{\alpha-1}} y^{-3^{\alpha-1}j}$. Then

$$k^3 = 1, k \in Z_2(G) \setminus Z(G) \quad \text{and} \quad k \notin G'. \quad (\diamond)$$

The latter holds: for if $kZ(G) = a^{3^{\alpha-1}} b^{-3^{\alpha-1}j} \in H'$, then $a^{3^{\alpha-1}} \in H'$, contradicting $(***)$.

Note that $C_G(k)$ is a maximal subgroup of G : for the map $x \mapsto [k, x]$ is a group homomorphism from G onto the cyclic group $\Omega_1(Z(G))$ of order 3 with the kernel $C_G(k)$. Let x be any element of $G \setminus C_G(k)$. Since $[k, x] \in Z(G)$ and $k^3 = 1$ we have

$$(xk)^3 = x^3 k^3 [k, x]^3 = x^3 [k^3, x] = x^3.$$

It is easy to check that the map β on G defined by $(ux^i)^\beta = u(xk)^i$ for all $u \in C_G(k)$ and all integers i , defines an automorphism of order 3 which leaves $\Phi(G)$ elementwise fixed. If β were inner, then β would be conjugation by some element $g \in G \setminus Z_2(G)$ with $k = [x, g]$ and so $k \in G'$; contradicting $(\diamond)$. Thus β is not inner. This completes the proof in the case (b). $\square$

Theorem 4.5. *Suppose that G is a finite 2-group of class 3.*

- (1) *If $d(G) \neq 3$ and $Z(G)$ is not cyclic then G has a non-inner automorphism of order 2 leaving $\Phi(G)$ elementwise fixed.*
- (2) *If $d(G) = 3$ and $d(Z(G)) > 2$ then G has a non-inner automorphism of order 2 leaving $\Phi(G)$ elementwise fixed.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that G has no non-inner automorphism of order 2. By part (2) of Theorem 3.5 and Lemma 4.1 one has

$$\binom{d(G) + 1}{2} \geq \text{rk}\left(\frac{G}{Z(G)}\right) \geq \binom{d(G) - 1}{2} d(Z(G)),$$

that implies the result when $d(G) > 3$. If $d(G) = 2, 3$, the result follows by applying Lemma 4.1 and inequality (F1) in the proof of Theorem 3.4. $\square$

Theorem 4.6. *Suppose that G is a finite 2-group of class 3. If $d(G) > 4$ then G has a non-inner automorphism of order 2 leaving $\Phi(G)$ elementwise fixed.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that G has no non-inner automorphism of order 2. By Theorem 4.5, $Z(G)$ is cyclic and by Lemma 4.1 and part (2) of Theorem 3.5

$$\binom{d(G)}{2} \leq \text{rk}\left(\frac{G}{Z(G)}\right) \leq d\left(\frac{G}{G'Z(G)}\right) + d\left(\frac{G'Z(G)}{Z(G)}\right),$$

therefore

$$d(G') \geq d\left(\frac{G'Z(G)}{Z(G)}\right) \geq \binom{d(G)}{2} - d(G).$$

Since $\Omega_1(G') \leq Z(\Phi(G))$ by applying Lemma 2.3 for $C = \Omega_1(G')$ and $D = \Omega_1(Z(G))$ one has

$$d(\text{Der}(\overline{G}, \Omega_1(G'))) \geq \sum_{j=1}^{d(G)} d(\ker(\tau_{x_j}) \cap \Omega_1(G')) - \binom{d(G)}{2} d(Z(G)).$$

and since $[\Omega_1(G'), x_j] \leq \Omega_1(Z(G))$, $1 \leq j \leq d(G)$ one has $d(\ker(\tau_{x_j}) \cap \Omega_1(G')) \geq d(G') - 1$ and by Lemma 4.1

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rk}\left(\frac{G}{Z(G)}\right) &\geq d(\text{Der}(\overline{G}, \Omega_1(G'))) \geq d(G)(d(G') - 1) - \binom{d(G)}{2} \implies \\ \binom{d(G)+1}{2} &\geq d(G)\left(\binom{d(G)}{2} - d(G) - 1\right) - \binom{d(G)}{2} \implies \\ 0 &\geq d(G)^2 - 4d(G) - 2. \end{aligned}$$

therefore $d(G) \leq 4$. This contradiction completes the proof. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Abdollahi, *Powerful p -groups have noninner automorphisms of order p and some cohomology*, J. Algebra **323** (2010) 779-789.
- [2] A. Abdollahi, *Finite p -groups of class 2 have noninner automorphisms of order p* , J. Algebra **312** (2007) 876-879.
- [3] G. Cutolo, *On a question about automorphisms of finite p -groups*, J. Group Theory **9** (2006) 231-250.
- [4] M. Deaconescu, G. Silberberg, *Noninner automorphisms of order p of finite p -groups*, J. Algebra **250** (2002) 283-287.
- [5] W. Gaschütz, *Nichtabelsche p -Gruppen besitzen äussere p -Automorphismen*, J. Algebra **4** (1966) 1-2.
- [6] H. Liebeck, *Outer automorphisms in nilpotent p -groups of class 2*, J. London Math. Soc. **40** (1965) 268-275.
- [7] P. Schmid, *A cohomological property of regular p -groups*, Math. Z. **175** (1980) 1-3.
- [8] Unsolved problems in group theory, The Kourovka Notebook, No. 16, Edited by V. D. Mazurov and E. I. Khukhro, Russian Academy of Sciences, Siberian Division, Institute of Mathematics, Novosibirsk, 2006.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ISFAHAN, ISFAHAN 81746-73441, IRAN; SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS,
INSTITUTE FOR RESEARCH IN FUNDAMENTAL SCIENCES (IPM), P.O.Box: 19395-5746, TEHRAN, IRAN.

E-mail address: `a.abdollahi@math.ui.ac.ir`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ISFAHAN, ISFAHAN 81746-73441, IRAN.

E-mail address: `ghoraishi@gmail.com`